

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/784,773	02/15/2001		Joseph D. Udy	2351	
7590 04/15/2004				EXAMINER	
Joseph D. Ud	y		HEALY, BRIAN		
Apt. # 362 4466 S. Helena Way			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Aurora, CO 80015-4415				2874	
				DATE MAILED: 04/15/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

-							
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/784,773	UDY, JOSEPH D.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Brian M. Healy	2874					
The MAILING DATE of this commu Period for Reply	inication appears on the cover sheet t	with the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUI - Extensions of time may be available under the provision after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this con - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for rep Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NICATION. ns of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may an innunication. (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of the statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC only will, by statute, cause the application to become after the mailing date of this communication, even	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.3) ☐ Since this application is in condition							
Disposition of Claims							
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-52</u> is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-11 and</u> 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>12-31 and 33-52</u> is/are region 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restrict the stress of the subject to restrict the subject the subject to restrict the subject	32 is/are withdrawn from consideration	tion.					
Application Papers							
	e: a) accepted or b) objected to be accepted or b) objected to be detection to the drawing of the correction is required if the drawing	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a clair a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priorit 2. Certified copies of the priorit 3. Copies of the certified copies	by documents have been received. By documents have been received in softhe priority documents have been ional Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 041304. S. Patent and Trademark Office	(PTO-948) Paper N	v Summary (PTO-413) b(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 2874

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 12-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. In Claim 12-31, there is no support in the specification as it was originally filed for "..laser diode arrays and liquid crystal displays ", "..having symbols and scenes having a submillimeter size", ..."..the pulses having a ultra-short duration; femtosecond, and the like."..." ..said strings are created by providing selective switching of the laser light pulse images..". These limitations have been determined to be new matter and must be deleted from the claims.

Claim 32 appears to be missing from the case and as such will not be treated on the merits.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Art Unit: 2874

Claims 12-31 and 33- 52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 12, purports to be an article claim, "In combination, including..., however only method limitations are actually recited, i.e. "...creating, forming or etching of information symbols.....creating optical images...creating strings of said images..." The scope of what Applicant is attempting to claim is substantially unclear. There are no recited means, i.e. etching means, imaging means, symbol creating means..ect in the claim in order to this claim to be an article claim.

Dependent claims 13-21 are rejected for being inclusive of claim 12.

In claim 22, although first through third means are recited in the claim it is unclear from the claim language as to how the recited means are to provide the recited function. An example of this is in lines 2-3 of claim 22 which recites: "...first means, information symbols or scenes...ect." It is not known from the claim language that Applicant the first means provides the information symbols. It is also unclear as to how the information symbols are created by the first means.

Dependent claims 23-31 are rejected as being dependent upon rejected claim 22.

Art Unit: 2874

Claim 33 is rejected for the same reasons as was applied to claim 1. Dependent claims 34-41 are rejected as being inclusive of rejected claim 33.

Claim 42 is rejected for the same reasons as was applied to claim 12. Dependent claims 43-51 are rejected as being inclusive of claim 42.

Claim 52 is unclear, vague and indefinite there is no recited means to provide the laser pulse images and the symbols. Also, it is substantially unclear as to how images are transmitted after they are created.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 12-31 and 33-52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Stern et. al., U.S.P. No.5,877,899.

Stern et. al. 899' teaches (Figs.1-15) a laser system for imaging indicia 30 or symbols onto a mirrored surface comprising: an array of laser diodes 66 (Note these laser diodes can be used in conjunction with a liquid crystal array to provide a switched or pulsed output.) which uses imaging means 36,38 and 40 to provide symbols and indicia of an extremely small size which are etched onto a mirrored surface 30 (Note

Art Unit: 2874

that the mirrored surface is a polished semiconductor surface which would inherently include MEMS type semiconductor mirrors.), which clearly, fully meets Applicant's claimed limitations.

Applicant's response, filed 2/9/04, has been considered but is moot in view of the new grounds of rejection. THIS OFFICE ACTION HAS NOT YET BEEN MADE FINAL.

The following references are also recited by the Examiner as being pertinent prior art: Chovan et. al., U.S.P. No.3,920,951 (Figs.1-4) and Stanisci, U.S.P. No.5,331,443 (Figs.1-2).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian M. Healy whose telephone number is (571)272-2347. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rodney Bovernik can be reached on (571)272-2344. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2874

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Brian M. Healy Primary Examiner Art Unit 2874

Brian Healy Primary Examiner

Ben Healy